SHE monitoring report 2020:

# Country-specific results of Austria

Schools for Health in Europe Network Foundation

October 2020. All rights reserved.





This report has received funding under an operating grant from the European Union's Health Programme.

### Background and methodology

The Schools for Health in Europe network foundation (SHE) monitors the implementation of school health promotion in SHE member countries. This country-specific report summarizes the results of the SHE monitoring survey in Austria. The survey was conducted in September 2020 and the findings represent the perceptions of the SHE national coordinator on school health promotion and the Health Promoting School (HPS) approach in schools in this country. The survey is based on existing questionnaires and information sources including the SHE Mapping Survey 2019<sup>1</sup>, the SHE Rapid Assessment Tool<sup>2</sup> and the fifth SHE Factsheet<sup>3</sup>. The complete survey is available at www.schoolsforhealth.org.

## School health promotion and the HPS approach in Austria

In this report, a distinction is made between **school health promotion** and the **formal HPS approach** in schools. SHE aims to strengthen the formal HPS approach among all schools in the European Region. However, not all schools are formally working according to the HPS approach or are working under the specific HPS label. Despite this, many schools do take efforts to promote the health of their staff and pupils with concrete school health promotion activities.

#### School health promotion

This relates to various health promotion activities in schools. These activities can focus on multiple healthrelated themes such as physical activity and mental health, for example in the curriculum or in the policy of the school. This can, but does not necessarily, imply that the school has a structured and systematic plan according to the principles of a formal health promoting school.

#### Formal HPS approach

According to SHE, the formal HPS approach relates to schools that implement a structured and systematic plan for the health, well-being and the development of social capital of all pupils and of teaching and non-teaching staff. This is characterized as a 'whole school approach' and these schools actively involve pupils, staff and parents in the decision-making and implementation of health promoting interventions in the whole school system.<sup>4</sup>

## The majority of schools in Austria implement health-related activities and formally work in accordance to the HPS approach.

Table 1 reports the estimated percentages of different types of schools involved in school health promotion and schools working according to the HPS approach in Austria. All preschools, primary and secondary schools and the majority of the vocational schools (70%) implement health promotion activities and formally work with the 'whole school approach' defining schools as Health Promoting Schools.

**Table 1.** The estimated percentage of schools involved in school health promotion and working according to the HPS approach in Austria

Health promotion in schools	% of schools	Health Promoting Schools (HPS)	% of schools
Preschools	100%	Preschools	100%
Primary schools	100%	Primary schools	100%
Secondary schools	100%	Secondary schools	100%
Vocational schools	70%	Vocational schools	70%

In Austria, there are national guidelines available for schools to support them in becoming a HPS. There is currently no national monitoring and evaluation program for health promotion in schools.

The rest of this report summarizes the results of aspects of the HPS approach that are already part of schools in Austria. These schools are not necessarily formal Health Promoting Schools.

## Creating a healthy and supportive environment in schools in Austria

The HPS approach as defined by SHE, targets the following six components: 1) healthy school policies, 2) the school's physical environment, 3) the school's social environment, 4) individual health skills and action competencies, 5) community links, and 6) health services.

Table 2 shows the implementation of the HPS components in schools in Austria. It shows that components 1 to 4 are all required and/or recommended by national policies. Further, a combination of measures enhances the link between schools and community stakeholders (component 5) and with local and regional health services (component 6) in the majority of schools in the country.

Table 2. The implementation of the Health Promoting School components in Austria



Table 2. continued

	<ul> <li>School canteens, food shops and vending machines offer food and drinks that follow national food standards</li> <li>The routes to schools are safe and designed to encourage students to engage in physical activity (e.g., cycling or walking)</li> <li>School buildings are kept at a comfortable temperature, are well-lit and ventilated</li> </ul>
3. Social environment	4. Individual skills and action competences
<ul> <li>Required by national policies</li> <li>Support services and accommodations are in place at schools for students with special learning, developmental and physical needs</li> <li>Schools have systems for identifying and referring students with special needs to outside professionals if those needs exceed the scope of expertise within the schools</li> <li>Recommended by national policies</li> <li>Spaces in the canteen, playground, classrooms and corridors are organized to promote student socialization and wellbeing</li> <li>Health education and health promoting activities are included in after-school programs</li> <li>Trusted persons (e.g. class tutor/mentor) are available for students to privately share concerns or thoughts</li> <li>Health professionals (doctor/nurse), social worker or psychologist) are involved in individual and whole school health promotion and work together with the school management to integrate health topics into the school curriculum and policy</li> <li>Educational professionals (e.g. pedagogists) are available to pupils, parents and teachers to optimize education for example by mapping the support needs of pupils and translate these into action-oriented advice for teachers.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Required by national policies</li> <li>Teaching/learning methods that enhance mental health and wellbeing are part of daily life in schools</li> <li>Schools have clear rules that promote healthy behaviors</li> <li>Recommended by national policies</li> <li>Healthy eating is part of daily life in schools</li> <li>Physical education and other forms of physical activity, e.g. activity breaks, are part of daily life in schools</li> <li>Health literacy and action competence are integral parts of the schools' policies</li> <li>Not mentioned by national policies</li> <li>E-learning methods are used to promote health skills and action competences of students</li> </ul>

#### 5. Community Links



#### Applies to about half of the schools

- Schools arrange for parents of students to become active participants in the school community
- Schools establish connections with local partners such as sport and youth clubs, community or regional health agencies, counselling services, health insurances, local shops, etc.
- Schools arrange regular student visits to local partners/stakeholders to encourage healthy eating, physical activity, the development of emotional/ social health, etc.
- Schools involve all key people in the community in co-creating health promotion action plans at schools



#### Applies to most schools

- There is a school doctor available to support students
- There is a psychologist available to support students
- There is a pedagogist available to support students

#### Applies to less than half of the schools

- There is a social worker available to support students


### SHE core values

The formal HPS approach is based on the core values equity, sustainability, inclusion, empowerment and democracy. Figure 1 shows the SHE national coordinator's estimation of how much the SHE-core values<sup>4</sup> in schools in Austria are reflected on a scale from 1 (not reflected at all) to 10 (reflection to the highest degree). The score for all values are higher than 7.

Figure 1. Generalization of the representation of the SHE-core values in schools in Austria



#### Figure 1. continued



## Implementation of health promotion in schools

Schools in Austria integrate health issues in cross-curricular teaching. Active learning strategies are used, such as discussion strategies and action-oriented approaches, to involve students in addressing health topics in schools. Figure 2 shows the major health promotion issues that are addressed in schools in the country. The list is quite diverse ranging from lifestyle-related topics such as healthy eating and physical activity to the prevention of violence.

#### Figure 2. Most addressed HP Topics in schools in Austria



## Tailored translation of the HPS approach on seven spectra in schools in Austria


The tailored translation of the HPS approach creates a variety of choices regarding the optimal implementation of the HPS approach in a specific context. This variety can be illustrated by seven different spectra. A detailed explanation of each spectrum can be found in the fifth SHE factsheet<sup>3</sup>. Figure 3 shows the estimation from the SHE national coordinator of Austria of the general placement of schools on the seven different spectra.

#### Figure 3. The Health Promoting School spectra in Austria



This refers to the level of involvement of stakeholders (e.g. pupils) in the decision-making and implementation of the HPS approach

#### Figure 3. continued



This relates to the level of disruptiveness of interventions as part of the HPS approach. Non-disruptiveness refers to small changes that can smoothly enter, while disruptiveness means large health promoting changes that can create a positive disruption in the school system.



This relates to the compatibility of interventions within the school curriculum. An intervention can be added-on to the current core curriculum obligations as an additional task, or added-in the current curriculum becoming part of the curriculum without reducing time from core curriculum obligations.



This refers to different types of research designs that can be used to evaluate the HPS approach going from controlled designs with a strong focus on internal validity to action-oriented approaches focusing on external validity and understanding the implementation process in schools.



This refers to the dissemination of the HPS approach at a local level characterized by an optimal fit with each school context, or at national level, reaching more pupils.

## Facilitators and barriers to health promotion in schools

The survey explored significant 11 facilitators and 7 barriers for the implementation of health promotion in schools in Austria. These results are reported in table 3.

Table 3. Perceived facilitators and barriers for school health promotion according to the national coordinator

## COVID-19 pandemic

Since spring 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic drastically altered school health promotion due to the countryspecific measures for combating the pandemic. The government of Austria closed schools during a national lock-down, and students received online education. A major challenge was the widening gap between students coming from lower and higher socioeconomic backgrounds.

## References

- 1. SHE Mapping survey 2019. Available from: https://www.schoolsforhealth.org/resources/materials-and-tools/mapping-she
- 2. SHE Rapid Assessment Tool. Available from: https://www.schoolsforhealth.org/resources/materials-and-tools/how-be-health-promoting-school/rapidassessment-tool
- 3. SHE the fifth SHE Factsheet. Available from: https://www.schoolsforhealth.org/resources/materials-and-tools/fact-sheets
- 4. SHE concepts. Available from: <u>https://www.schoolsforhealth.org/concepts</u>
- 5. SHE core values. Available from: <u>https://www.schoolsforhealth.org/concepts/she-values</u>

## SHE monitoring report 2020: Country-specific results of Austria

Authors:

Kathelijne Bessems (Maastricht University, the Netherlands) Nina Bartelink (Maastricht University, the Netherlands) Lotte Prevo (Maastricht University, the Netherlands)

Editorial: SHE Secretariat, Anette Schulz

We would like to thank the members of the task group monitoring for their constructive advice: Emily Darlington

(University Claude Bernard Lyon1, France) Elena Kjosevska (Institute for Public Health of Austria, Republic of North Macedonia) Annamária Somhegyi (National Centre for Spinal Disorders, Hungary) Teresa Vilaça (University of Minho, Portugal)

We would like to thank the SHE National Coordinator **Beatrix Haller** for participating in the SHE monitoring survey. Published by: Schools for Health in Europe Network Foundation (SHE). Haderslev, Denmark: October 2020 All rights reserved

If you need dialogue about the health promotion school and its key concept and activities, please contact the national or regional coordinator in your country. He or she will be happy to help you.

Find the coordinators here: www.schoolsforhealth.org/about-us/member-countries

If your country doesn't have a national coordinator, contact the helpdesk in the SHE secretariat on email: <u>info@schoolsforhealth.org</u>



This report has received funding under an operating grant from the European Union's Health Programme.



www.schoolsforhealth.org