

SHE monitoring report 2020:

Country-specific results of the Russian Federation

Schools for Health in Europe Network Foundation

October 2020. All rights reserved.



S · H · E

Schools for Health in Europe



This report has received funding under
an operating grant from the European
Union's Health Programme.

Background and methodology

The Schools for Health in Europe network foundation (SHE) monitors the implementation of school health promotion in SHE member countries. This country-specific report summarizes the results of the SHE monitoring survey in the Russian Federation. The survey was conducted in September 2020 and the findings represent the perceptions of the SHE national coordinator on school health promotion and the Health Promoting School (HPS) approach in schools in this country. The survey is based on existing questionnaires and information sources including the SHE Mapping Survey 2019¹, the SHE Rapid Assessment Tool² and the fifth SHE Factsheet³. The complete survey is available at www.schoolsforhealth.org.

School health promotion and the HPS approach in the Russian Federation

In this report, a distinction is made between **school health promotion** and the **formal HPS approach** in schools. SHE aims to strengthen the formal HPS approach among all schools in the European Region. However, not all schools are formally working according to the HPS approach or are working under the specific HPS label. Despite this, many schools do take efforts to promote the health of their staff and pupils with concrete school health promotion activities.

School health promotion

This relates to various health promotion activities in schools. These activities can focus on multiple health-related themes such as physical activity and mental health, for example in the curriculum or in the policy of the school. This can, but does not necessarily, imply that the school has a structured and systematic plan according to the principles of a formal health promoting school.

Formal HPS approach

According to SHE, the formal HPS approach relates to schools that implement a structured and systematic plan for the health, well-being and the development of social capital of all pupils and of teaching and non-teaching staff. This is characterized as a 'whole school approach' and these schools actively involve pupils, staff and parents in the decision-making and implementation of health promoting interventions in the whole school system.⁴

All schools in the Russian Federation implement health-related activities. About one third of the schools formally work in accordance to the HPS approach.

Table 1 reports the estimated percentage of different types of schools involved in school health promotion and working according to the HPS approach in the Russian Federation. All schools implement health promotion activities. About one third (30%) of the schools formally work with the 'whole school approach' defining schools as Health Promoting Schools.

Table 1. The estimated percentage of schools involved in school health promotion and working according to the HPS approach in the Russian Federation

Health promotion in schools	% of schools	Health Promoting Schools (HPS)	% of schools
Preschools	100%	Preschools	30%
Primary schools	100%	Primary schools	30%
Secondary schools	100%	Secondary schools	30%
Vocational schools	100%	Vocational schools	30%

In the Russian Federation, there are national guidelines and standards for schools to support them in becoming a HPS. The tools shared by SHE, such as the SHE rapid assessment tool, are not used by the schools in this country. It implies that schools work with the principles of the HPS using their own tools. The national government makes funding available from the national health promotion budget. In some regions, there is additional funding from the local budget. There is currently no national monitoring and evaluation program for health promotion in schools.

The rest of this report summarizes the results of aspects of the HPS approach that are already part of schools in the Russian Federation. These schools are not necessarily formal Health Promoting Schools.

Creating a healthy and supportive environment in schools in the Russian Federation

The HPS approach as defined by SHE, targets the following six components: 1) healthy school policies, 2) the school's physical environment, 3) the school's social environment, 4) individual health skills and action competencies, 5) community links, and 6) health services.

Table 2 shows the implementation of the HPS components in schools in the Russian Federation. It shows that components 1 to 4 are all required and/or recommended by national policies. Further, a combination of measures enhances the link between schools and community stakeholders (component 5) and with local and regional health services (component 6) in the majority of schools in the country.

Table 2. The implementation of the Health Promoting School components in the Russian Federation







<p>1. Healthy School Policies </p> <p>Required by national policies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Health promotion is part of the schools' educational goals and of the curriculum - The SHE values are part of the schools' approach to health promotion <p>Recommended by national policies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Schools apply a whole school approach to promote health and wellbeing <p>Not mentioned in national policies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Schools have a written policy on students' and/or staffs' health and wellbeing 	<p>2. Physical environment </p> <p>Required by national policies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - School facilities such as the playgrounds, classrooms, toilets, canteens and corridors are student-friendly, safe, clean and promote hygiene for all students. These are appropriate with regards to students with special needs - School physical activity facilities and canteens follow national safety and hygiene standards - School canteens, food shops and vending machines offer food and drinks that follow national food standards - School buildings are kept at a comfortable temperature, are well-lit and ventilated <p>Not mentioned in national policies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Students and staff have access to school facilities for physical activity outside school hours - The routes to schools are safe and designed to encourage students to engage in physical activity (e.g. cycling or walking)
<p>3. Social environment </p> <p>Required by national policies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Spaces in the canteen, playground, classrooms and corridors are organized to promote student socialization and wellbeing - Health education and health promoting activities are included in after-school programs - Health professionals (doctor/nurse), social worker or psychologist) are involved in individual and whole school health promotion and work together with the school management to integrate health topics into the school curriculum and policy - Support services and accommodations are in place at schools for students with special learning, developmental and physical needs - Schools have systems for identifying and referring students with special needs to outside professionals if those needs exceed the scope of expertise within the schools 	<p>4. Individual skills and action competences </p> <p>Required by national policies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Healthy eating is part of daily life in schools - Physical education and other forms of physical activity, e.g. activity breaks, are part of daily life in schools - Teaching/learning methods that enhance mental health and wellbeing are part of daily life in schools - Health literacy and action competence are integral parts of the schools' policies <p>Not mentioned in national policies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - E-learning methods are used to promote health skills and action competences of students - Schools have clear rules that promote healthy behaviors

Table 2. continued

<p>Not mentioned in national policies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Trusted persons (e.g. class tutor/mentor) are available for students to privately share concerns or thoughts - Educational professionals (e.g. pedagogists) are available to pupils, parents and teachers to optimize education for example by mapping the support needs of pupils and translate these into action-oriented advice for teachers. 	
<p>5. Community Links</p>  <p>Applies to most schools</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Schools arrange for parents of students to become active participants in the school community <p>Applies to about half of the schools</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Schools establish connections with local partners such as sport and youth clubs, community or regional health agencies, counselling services, health insurances, local shops, etc. - Schools arrange regular student visits to local partners/stakeholders to encourage healthy eating, physical activity, the development of emotional/ social health, etc. <p>Applies to less than half of the schools</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Schools involve all key people in the community in co-creating health promotion action plans at schools 	<p>6. Health services</p>  <p>Applies to most schools</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is a pedagogist available to support students <p>Applies to about half of the schools</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Health professionals are involved in health promoting activities at a school - There is a school doctor available to support students - There is a school nurse available to support students <p>Applies to less than half of the schools</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is a social worker available to support students - There is a psychologist available to support students


SHE core values

The HPS approach is based on the core values equity, sustainability, inclusion, empowerment and democracy. Figure 1 shows the estimation of the SHE national coordinator on how much the SHE-core values⁴ are reflected in schools in the Russian Federation on a scale from 1 (not reflected at all) to 10 (reflection to the highest degree). The scores for the values range from 4 to 10.

Figure 1. Generalization of the representation of the SHE-core values in schools in the Russian Federation



Figure 1. continued



Implementation of health promotion in schools

Schools in the Russian Federation integrate health promotion in several curricular and non-curricular educational activities and projects, but subjects are not interlinked. A combination of passive (such as one-way lectures) and active (such as group discussions, and student investigation) learning strategies are used to involve students while addressing health topics in schools. Figure 2 shows the most commonly addressed health promotion issues in schools in the country.

Figure 2. Most commonly addressed HP topics in schools in the Russian Federation



Tailored translation of the HPS approach on seven spectra in schools in the Russian Federation

The tailored translation of the HPS approach creates a variety of choices regarding the optimal implementation of the HPS approach in a specific context. This variety can be illustrated by seven different spectra. A detailed explanation of each spectrum can be found in the fifth SHE factsheet³. Figure 3 shows the SHE national coordinator's estimation of the general placement of schools on the seven different spectra.

Figure 3. The Health Promoting School spectra in the Russian Federation





Figure 3. continued



This refers to the dissemination of the HPS approach at a local level characterized by an optimal fit with each school context, or at national level, reaching more pupils.

Facilitators and barriers to health promotion in schools

An exploration of the important potential barriers for the implementation of health promotion in schools in the Russian Federation by the national coordinator concluded with 12 facilitating factors and 8 barriers. These results are reported in table 3.

Table 3. Perceived facilitators and barriers for school health promotion

Facilitators	Barriers
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Collaboration between the health and education sectors, intersectoral collaboration - The national educational policies and curriculum - Sufficient funding - Interest of schools in health promotion - Active involvement of students - Motivation of teachers - Support from school management - Support from parents - Participatory processes - Exchange of good practices - Education Training and support for health school coordinators - Support from local authority 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lack of funding - Functioning like volunteers - Health promotion is considered an additional activity - Deficient interaction between the Ministries of Education and Health - Absence of support from the local authorities - Teachers are or feel overloaded - School coordinators work is voluntary, it is not paid - Actions, good practices and standards should be better defined

COVID-19 pandemic

Since spring 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic drastically altered school health promotion due to the country-specific measures for combating the pandemic. The government of the Russian Federation closed schools during a national lock-down, and students received online education. Major challenges were the lack of qualified personnel to organize distance education, and insufficient availability to internet and electronic devices (computers, tablets) to children.

References

1. SHE Mapping survey 2019. Available from: <https://www.schoolsforhealth.org/resources/materials-and-tools/mapping-she>
2. SHE Rapid Assessment Tool. Available from: <https://www.schoolsforhealth.org/resources/materials-and-tools/how-be-health-promoting-school/rapid-assessment-tool>
3. SHE the fifth SHE Factsheet. Available from: <https://www.schoolsforhealth.org/resources/materials-and-tools/fact-sheets>
4. SHE concepts. Available from: <https://www.schoolsforhealth.org/concepts>
5. SHE core values. Available from: <https://www.schoolsforhealth.org/concepts/she-values>

SHE monitoring report 2020: Country-specific results of the Russian Federation

Authors:

Kathelijne Bessems

(Maastricht University, the Netherlands)

Nina Bartelink

(Maastricht University, the Netherlands)

Lotte Prevo

(Maastricht University, the Netherlands)

Editorial: SHE Secretariat, Anette Schulz

We would like to thank the members of the task group monitoring for their constructive advice:

Emily Darlington

(University Claude Bernard Lyon1, France)

Elena Kjosevska

(Institute for Public Health of the Republic of North Macedonia, Republic of North Macedonia)

Annamária Somhegyi

(National Centre for Spinal Disorders, Hungary)

Teresa Vilaça

(University of Minho, Portugal)

We would like to thank the SHE National Coordinator **Vladislav Kuchma** for participating in the SHE monitoring survey.

Published by:

Schools for Health in Europe Network Foundation (SHE).

Haderslev, Denmark:

October 2020

All rights reserved

If you need dialogue about the health promotion school and its key concept and activities, please contact the national or regional coordinator in your country. He or she will be happy to help you.

Find the coordinators here:

www.schoolsforhealth.org/about-us/member-countries

If your country doesn't have a national coordinator, contact the helpdesk in the SHE secretariat on email: info@schoolsforhealth.org



This report has received funding under an operating grant from the European Union's Health Programme.



S · H · E
Schools for Health in Europe

www.schoolsforhealth.org